

***Before the School Ethics Commission  
Docket No.: T10-20  
Decision for Failure to Complete Mandatory Training  
Requirement in a Timely Manner***

---

***In the Matter of Gary Yedman,  
Seaside Park Board of Education, Ocean County***

---

**I. Procedural History**

This matter arises from an Order to Show Cause (OTSC) that was issued by the School Ethics Commission (Commission) at its meeting on July 27, 2021, and also served on July 27, 2021, via electronic mail.<sup>1</sup> The OTSC directed Gary Yedman (Respondent), a “board member” as defined in *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-23 of the School Ethics Act (Act), *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-21 *et seq.*, to show cause as to why the Commission should not find him in violation of the Act, for failing to complete training as required by *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1.

In the OTSC served on July 27, 2021, the Commission notified Respondent that he had twenty (20) days to respond to the OTSC, and further advised that failure to respond would be deemed an admission of the facts set forth in the OTSC. Respondent was also notified that, upon receipt of the response or upon expiration of the twenty (20) day time period for so filing, the Commission may proceed to a determination of a violation on a summary basis (i.e., without a hearing or further proceedings) in accordance with *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-1.6(c), which so permits when material facts are not in dispute.

**II. Analysis**

The Act was enacted by the New Jersey State Legislature to ensure and preserve public confidence in members of local boards of education and local school administrators. *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1 require every board member to complete a training program prepared and offered by the New Jersey School Boards Association (NJSBA).

Respondent is a member of the Seaside Park Board of Education (Board), located in Ocean County. As a result of his position on the Board, and in accordance with *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1, Respondent is required to complete a mandated training program on an annual basis. For convenience and ease, the training is offered online so any board member may complete it at any time, from anywhere. Respondent was required to complete the Governance 4 training program by December 31, 2020.

On or about May 17, 2021, the NJSBA provided the Commission with the names of those board of education members who failed to complete mandated training by December 31, 2020, and the list provided included Respondent. Prior to this time, multiple communications about mandated

---

<sup>1</sup> Due to the ongoing Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, service of process was effectuated by the Commission through electronic transmission only.

training were sent to Respondent from the NJSBA through a variety of means, including targeted e-mail messages and postings in *School Board Notes*. More specific information detailing the outreach efforts of the NJSBA to communicate with Respondent and/or the Business Administrator about mandated training is set forth in the attached Certification from the NJSBA. *See Exhibit 1.* Notwithstanding all of these communications, Respondent did not complete mandated training.

In addition to the communication efforts undertaken by the NJSBA, the Ocean County Office of Education (County) also communicated with the Respondent regarding his failure to complete the mandated training requirement. More specifically, in mid-May 2021, the Commission's staff notified the County that Respondent was identified as a school official who failed to complete training by December 31, 2020. As a result, the County sent correspondence to Respondent, with a copy to the Business Administrator, advising that Respondent remained delinquent in completing training. Notwithstanding this correspondence from the County, Respondent did not complete training as directed.

As a final warning, the Commission sent a letter to Respondent dated June 10, 2021, via electronic mail, advising him that the Commission requires completion of the training to avoid the issuance of an OTSC for non-compliance. This final warning letter provided instructions to Respondent on how to complete training. Again, notwithstanding this correspondence, Respondent did not complete the required training. As a result, an OTSC was served on Respondent, via electronic mail, on July 27, 2021.

To date, there is no dispute that Respondent did not complete his required training by December 31, 2020, and did not complete the training prior to the issuance of the Commission's OTSC. After having been served with the OTSC, Respondent completed his training requirement on July 29, 2021, but did not respond to the Commission's OTSC as to why he did not complete training by December 31, 2020.

### **III. Decision/Penalty Recommendation**

*N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.2(d) provides that school board members and charter school trustees who fail to comply with their training mandate shall be considered in violation of *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33. Based on the record as set forth above, at its special meeting on August 30, 2021, the Commission found that Respondent violated *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1. Respondent received repeated notifications of his training requirement, and had ample opportunity to complete the mandated training in a timely fashion; nonetheless, he failed to complete training as required. Where a violation of the Act is found by the Commission, the Commission may recommend to the Commissioner of Education that a penalty be imposed. The recommended penalty can include a reprimand, censure, suspension or removal of the school official. *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-10.12.

In addition, the Commission readily acknowledges and is sympathetic to the fact that the Coronavirus (COVID-19) has had a significant impact on the daily lives of all people, and believes that reasonable accommodations and extensions of deadlines are appropriate and warranted in certain circumstances. However, the Commission notes that training is completed annually, can be completed from any computer or device with an internet connection, and that the Commission was only required to issue an OTSC to five (5) board of education members throughout the State for failure to comply with the statutory obligation to complete training. As such, there is no reasonable basis for Respondent, based on the current record, to have failed to comply with this mandate.

For the reasons set forth above, the Commission recommends that the Commissioner of Education impose a penalty of **reprimand**.

Pursuant to *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-29(c), this decision shall be forwarded to the Commissioner of Education for review of the Commission's recommended penalty. Respondent may either: 1) file exceptions to the recommended sanction; 2) file an appeal of the Commission's finding of a violation; or 3) file both exceptions to the recommended sanction together with an appeal of the finding of a violation.

Parties taking exception to the recommended sanction of the Commission but *not disputing* the Commission's finding of a violation may file, within **thirteen (13) days** from the date the Commission's decision is forwarded to the Commissioner, written exceptions regarding the recommended penalty to the Commissioner. The forwarding date shall be the mailing date to the parties, as indicated below. Such exceptions must be forwarded to: Commissioner of Education, c/o Bureau of Controversies and Disputes, P.O. Box 500, Trenton, New Jersey 08625, marked "Attention: Comments on Ethics Commission Sanction."<sup>2</sup> A copy of any comments filed must be sent to the Commission ([school.ethics@doe.nj.gov](mailto:school.ethics@doe.nj.gov)) and all other parties.

Parties seeking to appeal the Commission's finding of violation *must* file an appeal pursuant to the standards set forth at *N.J.A.C.* 6A:4:1 *et seq.* within **thirty (30) days** of the filing date of the decision from which the appeal is taken. The filing date shall be three (3) days after the date of mailing to the parties, as shown below. In such cases, the Commissioner's review of the Commission's recommended sanction will be deferred and incorporated into the Commissioner's review of the finding of violation on appeal. Where a notice of appeal has been filed on or before the due date for exceptions to the Commission's recommended sanction (thirteen (13) days from the date the decision is mailed by the Commission), exceptions need not be filed by that date, but may be incorporated into the appellant's briefs on appeal.

---

Robert W. Bender, Chairperson

Mailing Date: August 30, 2021

---

<sup>2</sup> An electronic submission may also be sent to [controversiesdisputesfilings@doe.nj.gov](mailto:controversiesdisputesfilings@doe.nj.gov).

***Resolution Adopting Decision for Failure to Complete  
Mandatory Training Requirement in a Timely Manner  
Docket No.: T10-20***

***Whereas***, Gary Yedman (Respondent) is a “board member” as defined in *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-23 of the School Ethics Act (Act); and

***Whereas***, as a board member, Respondent is required to complete a training program prepared and offered by the New Jersey School Boards Association (NJSBA) on an annual basis; and

***Whereas***, Respondent failed to complete his training program by December 31, 2020; and

***Whereas***, at its meeting on July 27, 2021, the School Ethics Commission (Commission) issued an Order to Show Cause (OTSC) directing Respondent to show cause as to why he failed to complete his mandatory training by December 31, 2020, as required by *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1; and

***Whereas***, after having been served with the OTSC, Respondent completed his training requirement, but did not respond to the Commission’s OTSC as to why he did not complete training by December 31, 2020; and

***Whereas***, at its special meeting on August 30, 2021, the Commission found that Respondent violated *N.J.S.A.* 18A:12-33 and *N.J.A.C.* 6A:28-4.1 by failing to complete the training requirement he was obligated to complete; and

***Whereas***, at its special meeting on August 30, 2021, the Commission recommended that the Commissioner of Education impose a penalty of reprimand on Respondent; and

***Whereas***, at its special meeting on August 30, 2021, the Commission agreed that the within decision accurately memorializes its findings and recommendations; and

***Now Therefore Be It Resolved***, that the Commission hereby adopts the within decision and directs its staff to notify all parties to this action of the decision.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert W. Bender, Chairperson

I certify that the within Resolution was duly adopted by the School Ethics Commission at a special meeting on August 30, 2021.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kathryn A. Whalen, Director